IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	C.I. Lupu et al.	Attorney Docket No.:	MSFT114614
Application No.:	09/544,512	Group Art Unit:	2124
Filed:	April 6, 2000	Examiner:	T.A. Vu
Title:	FIXING INCOMPATIBLE APPLICATIONS USING A LIGHT DEBUGGER		

RESPONSE

April 22, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request that the above-identified application be reexamined.

The Office Action mailed on December 1, 2004 ("Office Action"), rejected all pending claims in the application.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-13, and 15-18 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,385 (hereinafter "the '385 patent") in view of Edwards et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,901,315. In response to this obviousness-type double patenting rejections, attached is a terminal disclaimer that applicants submit to obviate such a ground of rejection.

Claims 1, 5-7, 11-13, and 17-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone, "In Memory Patching: Three Approaches, (How to Introduce Breakpoints in an Automated Debugger and Other Marvels)," March 1998, <http://fravia.anticrack.de/stone1.htm> (hereinafter "Stone") in view of Lillich, U.S. Patent No. 5,619,698 (hereinafter "Lillich"). Claims 3-4, 9-10, and 15-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone in view of Nowlin, Jr. et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,484,309 (hereinafter "Nowlin").

MSFT\14614AM4.DOC